(SRI U. M. MADAPPA)

(*c*) whether such promotions are not responsible for the juniors being placed above the seniors for no fault of theirs;

(*d*) whether such anomalous position existed when confirmations in the I Division and Promotion to the the cadre of Taluk Sheristedars were being ordered at the district level before formation of Divisions in November 1956 ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) District level.

(*b*) Yes. Such anomalies are inevitable at some stage of promotion or the other unless recruitment to all the posts is ordered at the State level.

(*c*) Please see reply to (*b*).

(*d*) Yes. The anomaly existed at that time while ordering promotions to the cadre of Tahsildars.

Preparation of the List of Backward Class.

Q.—39. Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they have taken final decision with regard to the list of backward communities in the State;

(*b*) if so, what is the decision ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) and (*b*) The matter is under examination.

Member's Representation.

Sri B. K. SUNTHANKAR (Belgaum City).—In the last session I had put one question regarding refusal of a candidate for enlistment as a Police Constable by the D.S.P., Bidar on the ground that he did not know Kannada. It has been answered, and while answering, the Chief Minister was pleased to assure me that he would enquire into the matter. Immediately I wrote a letter to the Chief Minister. Four months have elapsed, but I have not received any answer from the Chief Minister.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Last time this question was raised here and I personally told Mr. Sunthankar that I would look into the matter and I acknowledged that letter also. If it is only on a point of language that the person should not be appointed as Police Constable, if it is correct, I have issued instructions to the D.S.P. that he should be appointed.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

SECRETARY.—I lay on the Table replies to 20 questions of the previous sessions.

POSTPONED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS OF PREVIOUS SESSIONS

Yield of Crops in C. D. Block and in private owners in Holenarasipur Taluk.

Q.—58. Sri Y. VEERAPPA (Holenarasipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) yield per acre during the crop year 1958-59, as a result of crop experiments conducted in the fields of private owners of Holenarasipur C.D. Block;

(*b*) the quantity of Chemical fertilisers used by each private owner per acre duriug the said year;

(*c*) the average yield per acre during the crop year 1958-59 in the lands cultivated by Japanese method (by crop experiment method);

(*d*) the average yield got in the fields cultivated by crude or old methods;

(*e*) the special improvement made by the Japanese method of cultivation over the crude cultivation ?

A.—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare).—

(*a*) 2315 lbs. per acre.

(*b*) Details not readily available.

(*c*) The yield was 5,600 lbs,

(*d*) 2315 lbs. per acre.